

ISSN 2785-5228



EUWEB

EU-WESTERN BALKANS

Cooperation on Justice and Home Affairs




EUWEB Legal Essays
Global & International Perspectives
Issue 1/2024

EDITORIALE
SCIENTIFICA

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.



**Co-funded by
the European Union**



**Ministero degli Affari Esteri
e della Cooperazione Internazionale**

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Teresa Russo, University of Salerno (Italy)

MANAGING EDITOR

Ana Nikodinovska Krstevska, University “Goce Delčev” of Štip (North Macedonia)

ASSOCIATED EDITORS

Francesco Buonomenna, University of Salerno (Italy)

Gaspere Dalia, University of Salerno (Italy)

Erjon Hitaj, University of Vlore “Ismail Qemali” (Albania)

Rossana Palladino, University of Salerno (Italy)

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Giuseppe Cataldi, University of Naples “L’Orientale” (Italy)

Angela Di Stasi, University of Salerno (Italy)

Elżbieta Feret, University of Rzeszów (Poland)

Pablo Antonio Fernández Sánchez, University of Sevilla (Spain)

Olga Koshevaliska, University “Goce Delčev” of Štip (North Macedonia)

Pietro Manzini, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna (Italy)

Nebojsa Raicevic, University of Niš (Serbia)

Giancarlo Scalese, University of Cassino and Southern Lazio (Italy)

Anna Lucia Valvo, University of Catania (Italy)

Jan Wouters, University of KU Leuven (Belgium)

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Paolo Bargiacchi, KORE University of Enna (Italy)

Ivana Bodrožić, University of Criminal Investigation and Police Studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

Valentín Bou Franch, University of Valencia (Spain)

Elena Crespo Navarro, University Miguel Hernández Elche (Spain)

Luigi Daniele, University of Roma Tor Vergata (Italy)

Jordi Nieva Fenoll, University of Barcellona (Spain)

Luigi Kalb, University of Salerno (Italy)

Anja Matwijkiw, Indiana University Northwest (United States of America)

Massimo Panebianco, University of Salerno (Italy)

Ioannis Papageorgiou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece)

Nicoletta Parisi, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart of Milan (Italy)

Francisco Pascual Vives, University of Alcalà, Madrid (Spain)

Dino Rinoldi, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart of Milan (Italy)

REVIEWING COMMITTEE

Ersi Bozheku, University of Tirana (Albania)

Marco Borraccetti, University of Bologna (Italy)

Federico Casolari, University of Bologna (Italy)

Francesco Cherubini, University of Luiss Guido Carli, Rome (Italy)

Jasmina Dimitrieva, University “Goce Delčev” of Štip (North Macedonia)

Miroslav Djordjevic, Institute for Comparative Law, Belgrade (Serbia)

Jelena Kostić, Institute for Comparative Law, Belgrade (Serbia)

Ivan Ingravallo, University of Bari “Aldo Moro” (Italy)

Elena Maksimova, University “Goce Delčev” of Štip (North Macedonia)

Daniela Marrani, University of Salerno (Italy)

Francesca Martinez, University of Pisa (Italy)

Marina Matić Bošković, Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research, Belgrade (Serbia)

Heliona Miço, EPOKA University of Tirana (Albania)

Pietro Milazzo, University of Pisa (Italy)

Stefano Montaldo, University of Turin (Italy)

Giuseppe Morgese, University of Bari “Aldo Moro” (Italy)
Niuton Mulleti, EPOKA University of Tirana (Albania)
Amandine Orsini, Université Saint-Louis, Brussels (Belgium)
Mario Panebianco, University of Salerno (Italy)
Leonardo Pasquali, University of Pisa (Italy)
Christian Ponti, University of Milano (Italy)
Valentina Ranaldi, University “Niccolò Cusano” of Rome (Italy)
Fabio Spitaleri, University of Trieste (Italy)
Ismail Tafani, University of Barleti (Albania)
Maria Torres Perez, University of Valencia (Spain)
Paolo Troisi, University of Rome Tor Vergata (Italy)

EDITORIAL ASSISTANTS

Stefano Busillo, University of Salerno (Italy)
Miriam Schettini, University of Pisa (Italy)
Gabriele Rugani, University of Pisa (Italy)
Emanuele Vannata, University of Salerno (Italy)
Ana Zdraveva, University “Goce Delčev” of Štip (North Macedonia)

Rivista semestrale on line EUWEB Legal Essays. Global & International Perspectives

www.euweb.org

Editoriale Scientifica, Via San Biagio dei Librai, 39 – Napoli

Registrazione presso il Tribunale di Nocera Inferiore n° 5 del 23 marzo 2022

ISSN 2785-5228

Index
2024, No. 1
MONOGRAPHIC ISSUE
“Promoting Cooperation for the Protection of Migrants’ Rights and the Fight Against
Transnational Crimes”

PREFACE

Ana Nikodinovska Krstevska, Teresa Russo 7

ESSAYS

Olga Koshevaliska, Ernis Isamatov
*Unravelling the Impact of Xenophobia and Hate Crimes on the Human Rights of
Migrants and Asylum Seekers* 10

Elena Maksimova
*Cooperation for the Protection of the Rights of Female Migrants: Prevention of
Gender-Based Violence During Migration* 19

Eugenio Zaniboni, Paolo Cestra
*International and European Police Cooperation Against Trafficking of Stolen
Vehicles* 35

CONFERENCE SPEECHES

“Promoting Public Awareness of the Fight Against Transnational Crimes, the Role of
Police and Judicial Cooperation and Respect for Fundamental Rights”, Faculty of Law,
University of Donja Gorica (UDG, Podgorica, Montenegro), 20 June 2023

Ivana Jelić
Foreword 53

Teresa Russo
*The Evolution of European Criminal Competence in the Fight Against
Transnational Crime* 55

Johann Wagner
*Criminalistics and Criminal Justice Aspects of Proving and Solving Criminal
Offences* 67

Jelena Đurišić
Organised Criminality 79

Jelena Đaletić
Montenegro and the Eurojust 82

Ognjen Mitrović
International Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters in Montenegro 84

Nikola B. Šaranović <i>Free Movement of Crime</i>	87
Mladen Vukčević <i>Respect for Human Rights and the Concept of Transnational Organised Crime (Challenges in the Rule-of-Law Field)</i>	89
Milorad Marković <i>Searching for a Just Balance</i>	97

SEARCHING FOR A JUST BALANCE

by Milorad Marković*

SUMMARY: 1. Issues for Consideration at the International Level of Fighting Transnational Organised Crime and Protection of Human Rights.

1. Issues for Consideration at the International Level of Fighting Transnational Organised Crime and Protection of Human Rights

Respecting human rights in the fight against transnational organised crime is an extremely broad and demanding topic. It is as broad as the concept of human rights and as demanding as the fight against transnational organised crime itself.

In this context, human rights do not only refer to individuals who are perpetrators of transnational organised crime, in terms of their human rights before, during, and after criminal proceedings. It concerns the human rights of all of us, from citizens to victims of transnational organised crime.

The fundamental concept should be based on balance. Symbolically speaking: on the scales of *Iustitia*, we measure not only the *pro et contra* arguments of defence and prosecution, but also the proportionality of limiting the human rights of perpetrators of transnational organised crime in order to protect the rights of others.

This is a legitimate basis for limiting human rights according to the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Art. 8 of the Convention guarantees everyone “*the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence*”, with the assurance that “*public authorities will not interfere with the exercise of this right*”. However, this right is not absolute, as public authorities will legitimately interfere if it is “*in accordance with the law and necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others*”.

The same applies to the right to enjoyment of property, guaranteed by art. 1 of Protocol 1 to the Convention, as the right of everyone “*to peaceful enjoyment of his possessions*”, from which no one can be deprived, with a relativization in the continuation of the article: “[...] *except in the public interest and under the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law. The preceding provisions shall not, however, in any way impair the right of a State to enforce such laws as it deems necessary to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest or to secure the payment of taxes or other contributions or penalties*”.

These two rights are key in the fight against transnational organised crime in two ways: through measures of secret surveillance and confiscation of property obtained through criminal activity. It should be noted that transnational crime is not only about one segment or several of them but is a concept that is changing. Today, transnational organised crime is not the same phenomenon as it was in the 70s or 80s. It has been

* Master of Law, International Legal Consultant.

changed by globalization, not as overcoming physical borders, but as its virtualization. The latest data shows that 80% of criminal acts in the world have some digital element. Therefore, we must not give narrow meanings to concepts.

Transnational organised crime seriously endangers our human rights. The latest measures of secret surveillance of the use of encrypted applications, among which the most used is SKY, have raised questions about respecting the right to respect for private and family life under art. 8 of the Convention. Without delving into the legal validity of evidence obtained in this way, it is to be believed that the European Court of Human Rights deeply contemplates the balance between the human rights of the accused and the human rights of everyone else.

In this regard, there is also the mechanism of confiscating property acquired through criminal activity, as one of the most effective tools in the fight against transnational organised crime. In terms of art. 1 of Protocol 1 to the Convention, it is beyond doubt that the fight against transnational organised crime represents a “public” and “general” interest, as a legitimate basis for limiting the right to peaceful enjoyment of property.

In all of this, the need for strengthening international police and judicial cooperation emerges. We must not be partially focused on this. Such cooperation must be systemic. This implies the internationalization of criminal law and human rights in this context. The European Union and more and more Western Balkan countries should have a common approach in this.

In conclusion: none of the above can derogate from the right to a fair trial under art. 6 of the Convention; on the contrary, the balance we strive for can only contribute to the full realization of this principle.