



Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.









EUWEB LEGAL ESSAYS. Global & International Perspectives

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Teresa Russo, University of Salerno (Italy)

MANAGING EDITOR

Ana Nikodinovska Krstevska, University "Goce Delčev" of Štip (North Macedonia)

ASSOCIATED EDITORS

Francesco Buonomenna, University of Salerno (Italy)
Gaspare Dalia, University of Salerno (Italy)
Erjon Hitaj, University of Vlore "Ismail Qemali" (Albania)
Rossana Palladino, University of Salerno (Italy)

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Giuseppe Cataldi, University of Naples "L'Orientale" (Italy)
Angela Di Stasi, University of Salerno (Italy)
Elżbieta Feret, University of Rzeszów (Poland)
Pablo Antonio Fernández Sánchez, University of Sevilla (Spain)
Olga Koshevaliska, University "Goce Delčev" of Štip (North Macedonia)
Pietro Manzini, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna (Italy)
Nebojsha Raicevic, University of Niŝ (Serbia)
Giancarlo Scalese, University of Cassino and Southern Lazio (Italy)
Anna Lucia Valvo, University of Catania (Italy)
Jan Wouters, University of KU Leuven (Belgium)

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Paolo Bargiacchi, KORE University of Enna (Italy)

Ivana Bodrožić, University of Criminal Investigation and Police Studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

Valentín Bou Franch, University of Valencia (Spain)

Elena Crespo Navarro, University Miguel Hernández Elche (Spain)

Luigi Daniele, University of Roma Tor Vergata (Italy)

Jordi Nieva Fenoll, University of Barcellona (Spain)

Luigi Kalb, University of Salerno (Italy)

Anja Matwijkiw, Indiana University Northwest (United States of America)

Massimo Panebianco, University of Salerno (Italy)

Ioannis Papageorgiou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece)

Nicoletta Parisi, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart of Milan (Italy)

Francisco Pascual Vives, University of Alcalà, Madrid (Spain)

REVIEWING COMMITTEE

Dino Rinoldi, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart of Milan (Italy)

Ersi Bozheku, University of Tirana (Albania)
Marco Borraccetti, University of Bologna (Italy)
Federico Casolari, University of Bologna (Italy)
Francesco Cherubini, University of Luiss Guido Carli, Rome (Italy)

Jasmina Dimitrieva, University "Goce Delčev" of Štip (North Macedonia)

Miroslav Djordjevic, Institute for Comparative Law, Belgrade (Serbia)

Jelena Kostić, Institute for Comparative Law, Belgrade (Serbia)

Ivan Ingravallo, University of Bari "Aldo Moro" (Italy)

Elena Maksimova, University "Goce Delčev" of Štip (North Macedonia)

Daniela Marrani, University of Salerno (Italy)

Francesca Martinez, Univerity of Pisa (Italy)

Marina Matić Bošković, Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research, Belgrade (Serbia)

Heliona Miço, EPOKA University of Tirana (Albania)

Pietro Milazzo, University of Pisa (Italy)

Stefano Montaldo, University of Turin (Italy)

Giuseppe Morgese, University of Bari "Aldo Moro" (Italy)
Niuton Mulleti, EPOKA University of Tirana (Albania)
Amandine Orsini, Université Saint-Louis, Brussels (Belgium)
Mario Panebianco, University of Salerno (Italy)
Leonardo Pasquali, University of Pisa (Italy)
Christian Ponti, University of Milano (Italy)
Valentina Ranaldi, University "Niccolò Cusano" of Rome (Italy)
Fabio Spitaleri, University of Trieste (Italy)
Ismail Tafani, University of Barleti (Albania)
Maria Torres Perez, University of Valencia (Spain)
Paolo Troisi, University of Rome Tor Vergata (Italy)

EDITORIAL ASSISTANTS

Stefano Busillo, University of Salerno (Italy)
Miriam Schettini, University of Pisa (Italy)
Gabriele Rugani, University of Pisa (Italy)
Emanuele Vannata, University of Salerno (Italy)
Ana Zdraveva, University "Goce Delčev" of Štip (North Macedonia)

Rivista semestrale on line EUWEB Legal Essays. Global & International Perspectives <u>www.euweb.org</u>

Editoriale Scientifica, Via San Biagio dei Librai, 39 – Napoli Registrazione presso il Tribunale di Nocera Inferiore n° 5 del 23 marzo 2022 ISSN 2785-5228

Index

2024, No. 1

MONOGRAPHIC ISSUE

"Promoting Cooperation for the Protection of Migrants' Rights and the Fight Against Transnational Crimes"

PREFACE Ana Nikodinovska Krstevska, Teresa Russo	7
ESSAYS	
Olga Koshevaliska, Ernis Isamatov Unravelling the Impact of Xenophobia and Hate Crimes on the Human Migrants and Asylum Seekers	Rights of
Elena Maksimova Cooperation for the Protection of the Rights of Female Migrants: Prev Gender-Based Violence During Migration	ention of
Eugenio Zaniboni, Paolo Cestra International and European Police Cooperation Against Trafficking Vehicles	of Stoler 35
CONFERENCE SPEECHES "Promoting Public Awareness of the Fight Against Transnational Crimes, the Police and Judicial Cooperation and Respect for Fundamental Rights", Faculty University of Donja Gorica (UDG, Podgorica, Montenegro), 20 June 202	y of Law,
Ivana Jelić Foreword	53
Teresa Russo The Evolution of European Criminal Competence in the Fight Transnational Crime	Agains 55
Johann Wagner Criminalistics and Criminal Justice Aspects of Proving and Solving Offences	Crimina 67
Jelena Đurišić Organised Criminality	79
Jelena Đaletić Montenegro and the Eurojust	82
Ognjen Mitrović International Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters in Montenegro	84

84

Free Movement of Crime	87
Mladen Vukčević	
Respect for Human Rights and the Concept of Transnational Organis	ed Crime
(Challenges in the Rule-of-Law Field)	89
Milorad Marković	
Searching for a Just Balance	97

Nikola B. Šaranović

FREE MOVEMENT OF CRIME

by Nikola B. Šaranović*

SUMMARY: 1. A Brief Critique of Judicial Cooperation in Western Balkans.

1. A Brief Critique of Judicial Cooperation in Western Balkans.

Free movement of people, goods, services, and capital. These four fundamental freedoms, on which the European Union's single market is based, are not unlimited. They are regulated by norms, which make the single market a space of justice, freedom, and security.

Unlike the prescriptive concept of the EU's four freedoms, the title "Free Movement of Crime" is descriptive. It serves as a figure of speech for contrast, used to illustrate the situation in the Western Balkans compared to that in the European Union.

The difference is understandable: neither have the Western Balkan countries reached a level of economic-social integration, nor have they achieved the level of political-legal integration of EU member states. However, this does not mean that there is no freedom of movement of crime in the European Union, nor that there is no freedom of movement of people, goods, services, and capital in the Western Balkans. The point is that Western Balkan countries are not showing readiness to establish a regional space of justice, freedom, and security, which would be an advancement of some kind of regional market. This demonstrates their lack of readiness for what they aspire to on a larger scale. And they aspire, at least declaratively, to membership in the European Union.

The best illustration of this unreadiness is judicial cooperation. In the European Union, it operates on the principle of mutual recognition of decisions. In the Western Balkans, it is still regulated by international instruments, bilateral agreements, and national laws. Finally, in the EU this area is called judicial cooperation, while in the Western Balkans it is still international legal assistance. Analyses have shown that Western Balkan countries do not use even the existing mechanisms to their full potential. In contrary, some examples of clear violations of obligations from bilateral agreements have been recorded, unimaginable in the European Union. Instead of a area of justice, freedom, and security, the Western Balkans appear as an area of politics, irresponsibility, and insecurity.

The "evidence explosion" from the SKY app has revealed the extent of transnational crime in our region. It is a devastating fact that there is a Balkan cartel, but there are no joint investigative teams between the Western Balkan countries. If we add to this the question of the legal validity of evidence from the Sky app raised in the professional community, then the law, instead of being a mean of achieving justice, becomes an obstacle to it.

On the other hand, instead of regional cooperation, Western Balkan countries are developing "bilateral cooperation" with the European Union, concluding agreements with

ISSN 2785-5228

DOI: 10.1400/295565

EUWEB Legal Essays Global & International Perspectives No. 1/2024, pp. 87-88 in collaboration with STUDIA IURIDICA MONTENEGRINA

^{*} Ph.D., Lecturer of the International Public Law and the Basics of the EU Law, Faculty of Law, University of Donja Gorica.

NIKOLA B. ŠARANOVIĆ

the EUROJUST unit and sending liaison prosecutors to The Hague, designating contact points for the European Judicial Network in criminal matters, and recently concluding working arrangements with the European Public Prosecutor Office. The European Union, for its part, finances and implements multi-million projects to strengthen the rule of law, often overlapping and thematically repeating. This money is frequently spent on expertise-for-the-sake-of-expertise, resulting in project-for-the-sake-of-project realization.

Once, the countries of the Western Balkans had a level of judicial cooperation like the European Union has today. This was in the former Yugoslavia. Today, they do not use the capital of origin from the common Yugoslav legal system (except for Albania, which was not part of it), nor the capital of a common language and the absence of linguistic barriers (excluding Kosovo and partly North Macedonia). This reveals a paradox: these countries strive for judicial cooperation with those further away (EU), without developing to the fullest extent international legal assistance with those closer (WB). One of the reasons is that there is a variable between EU member states that does not exist in the Western Balkans, a guiding principle of judicial cooperation: it is called *trust*.

There is also a certain passivity of the WB countries and an expectation that every answer will come from Brussels. The exception is (and this claim is not subjective) Montenegro. It is the only country whose Ministry of Justice is a regular host of the Regional Forum on Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters, which brings together representatives of the ministries of justice, courts, and prosecutors of the Western Balkan countries. This forum has, among other things, been dedicated to the revision of bilateral agreements, and every topic on the Forum's agenda is illuminated by EU standards. Montenegro has met the challenges in this area by adopting the Law on Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters with EU member states as early as 2018. This law represents a small codification of EU instruments, including the European arrest warrant, the European investigation order, the European protection order, and other instruments. It is envisaged that the Law will start to be applied on the day of Montenegro's membership in the EU.

This proactive approach of Montenegro is in line with the basic principle of the European Union: the principle of subsidiarity. According to it, higher instances should not take away the initiative from lower instances, which they can achieve with their own means and potentials.

The higher instance in this sense is the European Union, and the lower instances are the Western Balkan countries. Which, to become part of the EU, the EU needs to become part of them.